

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,757	01/07/2002	Christopher K. Ober	1153.027US1	3212
21186	7590 03/27/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	<u></u>
		DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003		
			·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

10/041,757

Applicant(s)

Ober et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Olga Asinovsky

Art Unit 1711



The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover s	heet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE _	one	_ MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13	6 (a). In no event, however,	, may a reply i	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply	within the statutory minimu	m of thirty (3	0) days will be considered timely.	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	from the mailing date of this communication.	
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	date of this communication,	even if timely	y filed, may reduce any	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan</u>	7, 2002			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ TI	his action is non-fina	al.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for for Ex parte Quayle, 1	mal matte 935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-49</u>			is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s)			is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-49</u>	ar	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) 🗆 accept	ted or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be h	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	i	s: a) □ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office a	ection.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 3	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receiv	red.		
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been receiv	red in App	Dication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International	al Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	_	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dor			·	
a) The translation of the foreign language prov				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under	r 35 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a compound of formula (I) having a fluorine containing compound of formula (II) or (III), classified in class 562, subclass 850 and class 525, subclass 144.
 - II. Claims 13-25, drawn to a compound of formula (IV) having polyoxyethylene containing compound of formula (V), classified in class 525, subclass 63, 70, 118, 162 and 298.
 - III. Claims 26-34 and 44-46, drawn to a surface active block copolymer (SABC) comprising a thermoplastic elastomer block and a diblock copolymer, wherein the diblock copolymer comprises semifluorinated monodendron side chains, and a method for forming a said (SABC) for claims 44-46, classified in class 525, subclass 63, 70, 121 and 276, and class 524, subclass 462, 520.
 - IV. Claims 35-43 and 47-49, drawn to a surface active block copolymer (SABC) comprising a thermoplastic elastomer block copolymer and a diblock copolymer, wherein the diblock copolymer comprises oligoethylene glycol side chains, and a method for forming a said (SABC) for claims 47-49, classified in class 525, subclass 70, 298, 299 and 312, and class 524, subclass 504.

Art Unit: 1711

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and may be different effects because they have different chemical structure.

- 3. Inventions of Group I and Groups III+IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a solvent sprayable coating composition having water and oil-repellent properties and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Inventions of Group III and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1711

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and may be different effects because they have different chemical structures for the compounds of formulae [(II) and (III)) and formula (V).

- Inventions of Group II and Groups III+IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a solvent sprayable coating composition having anti-fog properties and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1711

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 8. a telephone call was made to Gary Speier on March 24 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is (703) 308-0041. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 and (703)872-9311 after final.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

0.15

O.A.

March 25, 2003

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700